

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,255	08/27/2003	Yoshihisa Suda	053466-0366	5217
22428 759 FOLEY AND LA		EXAMINER		
SUITE 500			FASTOVSKY, LEONID M	
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	,		3742	
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONT	HS	12/26/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	<u> </u>	NT				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/648,255	SUDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leonid M. Fastovsky	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Se	eptember 2006.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-28 and 30 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 29,31 and 32 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 August 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	937 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. In view of the Interview summary filed on 9/19/06, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. The new Office Action is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mitsui Kensaku (JP53047750).

Mitsui teaches a heating element comprising carbide containing carbon "C", inherently acting as a good conductor and boron nitride mixed with the carbide, the boron nitride inherently acting as a conductivity-inhibiting material, and the composition of boron nitride is inherently dispersed in carbon (Abstract).

Page 3

Claim 13 is a product-by-process claim, the claimed product appears to be the same or similar that of the prior art, and the product itself does not depend on the process of making it. In re Marosi, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitsui in view of Yasuda (JP59219886).

Mitsui discloses the carbon heating element, but does not disclose explicitly a carbon powder. Yasuda discloses a heater comprising a carbon powder It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Mitsui's heating element to include a carbon powder as taught by Yasuda in order to provide high efficiency heater (Title).

Claims 17-23 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitsui in view of Sotodani et al (JP09007955).

necessary as desired by the user.

Art Unit: 3742

Mitsui discloses substantially the claimed invention, but does not disclose a specific resistivity. Sotodani discloses a carbon heater 16 having a specific resistance between 4000 to 4400 micro ohm-cm (Abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Mitsui's heater having specific resistivity in a range as taught by Sotodani in order to meet specific needs of the user.

4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitsui in view of Jones (3,817,735).

Mitsui discloses substantially the claimed invention, but is silent regarding the heater having a rectangular cross-section. Jones discloses a carbon heater comprising bars 79 each bar is of rectangular cross-section (col. 3, lines 20-35 and 65-70). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Mitsui's heater to include the carbon heater having a rectangular cross-section as taught by Jones in order to have a shape of the heater determined by the user having a desired result in mind.

5. Claims 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitsui in view of Jones and further in view of Konishi et al (6,143,238).

Mitsui in view of Jones discloses substantially the claimed invention, but is silent regarding the heater having a metalliod. Konishi discloses a carbon heater 1 comprising a heating element 10 and comprising a metallic carbon silicide (col. 3, lines 25-38). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Mitsui in view and Jones to include the carbon heater having a metalloid as taught by Konishi in order to ensure is a conductivity - inhibiting qualities of the heater as

Application/Control Number: 10/648,255

Art Unit: 3742

6. Claims 16 and 26 - 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitsui in view of Kawakudo.

Mitsui discloses substantially the claimed invention, but does not disclose a method of making a carbon heater. Kawakudo discloses a method of making a carbon product inherently enclosed in a vessel with inert gas and firing organic substances such as polyvinyl chloride and furan resin (Abstract and col. 2, lines 39-68, and col. 4, lines 10-20). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Mitsui's invention to use them in a method of making the carbon product as taught by Kawakubo because they disclose all structure elements of the invention and are capable of so perform.

Page 5

As for claim 27, yield of carbonization of organic substances in the method of making the carbon heater would be at least 5% since the resulting carbonization is the result of the firing process, such the result would have been an inherent result of the process done in the prior art as well.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 29 and 31-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3742

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12 - 28 and 30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M. Fastovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-4778. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,255

Art Unit: 3742

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leonid M Fastovsky

12/15/06

Examiner Art Unit 3742

Imf

ROBIN EVANS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER